

# Operator frames in Banach spaces

Oleg Reinov

Saint Petersburg State University

# Definition

A system  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k)_{k=1}^\infty, (y_k)_{k=1}^\infty)$  is an O-frame (*operator frame*) for  $T \in L(X, Y)$ , if for every  $x \in X$  the series  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle x'_k, x \rangle y_k$  converges in  $Y$  and

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x \rangle y_k, \quad x \in X.$$

**Examples.** 1.  $\Delta : l_\infty \rightarrow l_1$ , a diagonal,  $(\delta_k) \in l_1$ . Then

$$\Delta x = \sum \delta_k \langle e_k, x \rangle e_k.$$

2.  $X$  has a basis  $(f_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ . If  $T : X \rightarrow W$ , then

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f'_k, x \rangle T f_k, \quad x \in X.$$

3.  $W$  has a basis  $(w_k)$ . If  $T : X \rightarrow W$ , then

$$\langle Tx, w'_k \rangle = \langle x, T^* w'_k \rangle, \text{ hence } Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle T^* w'_k, x \rangle w_k.$$

4. If  $X$  (or  $Y$ ) is separable and has BAP. Every  $T \in L(X, W)$  has O-frame.

# Definition

A system  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k)_{k=1}^\infty, (y_k)_{k=1}^\infty)$  is an O-frame (*operator frame*) for  $T \in L(X, Y)$ , if for every  $x \in X$  the series  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle x'_k, x \rangle y_k$  converges in  $Y$  and

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x \rangle y_k, \quad x \in X.$$

**Examples.** 1.  $\Delta : l_\infty \rightarrow l_1$ , a diagonal,  $(\delta_k) \in l_1$ . Then

$$\Delta x = \sum \delta_k \langle e_k, x \rangle e_k.$$

2.  $X$  has a basis  $(f_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ . If  $T : X \rightarrow W$ , then

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f'_k, x \rangle T f_k, \quad x \in X.$$

3.  $W$  has a basis  $(w_k)$ . If  $T : X \rightarrow W$ , then

$$\langle Tx, w'_k \rangle = \langle x, T^* w'_k \rangle, \text{ hence } Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle T^* w'_k, x \rangle w_k.$$

4. If  $X$  (or  $Y$ ) is separable and has BAP. Every  $T \in L(X, W)$  has O-frame.

## Proposition

$T \in L(X, W)$ ,  $A \in L(W, V)$ ,  $B \in L(Z, X)$ . If  $T$  has an  $O$ -frame, then  $ATB : Z \rightarrow V$  has an  $O$ -frame.

## Corollary

If  $T \in L(X, W)$  factors through a Banach space with a basis, then  $T$  has an  $O$ -frame.

## Corollary

If  $T \in L(X, W)$  factors through a Banach space with the BAP, then  $T$  has an  $O$ -frame.

## Proposition

$T \in L(X, W)$ ,  $A \in L(W, V)$ ,  $B \in L(Z, X)$ . If  $T$  has an  $O$ -frame, then  $ATB : Z \rightarrow V$  has an  $O$ -frame.

## Corollary

If  $T \in L(X, W)$  factors through a Banach space with a basis, then  $T$  has an  $O$ -frame.

## Corollary

If  $T \in L(X, W)$  factors through a Banach space with the BAP, then  $T$  has an  $O$ -frame.

## Proposition

$T \in L(X, W)$ ,  $A \in L(W, V)$ ,  $B \in L(Z, X)$ . If  $T$  has an  $O$ -frame, then  $ATB : Z \rightarrow V$  has an  $O$ -frame.

## Corollary

If  $T \in L(X, W)$  factors through a Banach space with a basis, then  $T$  has an  $O$ -frame.

## Corollary

If  $T \in L(X, W)$  factors through a Banach space with the BAP, then  $T$  has an  $O$ -frame.

# Dual situation

One more property:

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k), (w_k))$  be an  $O$ -frame for  $T \in L(X, W)$ . Then the dual system  $\mathcal{F}^d := ((w_k), (x'_k))$  is a weak\*  $O$ -frame for  $T^*$ , i.e.

$$T^* w' = w^* \text{-} \lim_N \sum_{k=1}^N \langle w', w_k \rangle x'_k, \quad w' \in W^*.$$

*Proof.* For  $w' \in W^*$  and  $x \in X$  we have:

$$\langle T x, w' \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x \rangle w_k, w' \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle w', w_k \rangle x'_k, x \right\rangle,$$

hence  $T^* w' = w^* \text{-} \lim_N \sum_{k=1}^N x'_k \langle w', w_k \rangle$  (the limit is in the topology  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ ).

# Dual situation

One more property:

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k), (w_k))$  be an  $O$ -frame for  $T \in L(X, W)$ . Then the dual system  $\mathcal{F}^d := ((w_k), (x'_k))$  is a weak\*  $O$ -frame for  $T^*$ , i.e.

$$T^* w' = w^* \text{-} \lim_N \sum_{k=1}^N \langle w', w_k \rangle x'_k, \quad w' \in W^*.$$

*Proof.* For  $w' \in W^*$  and  $x \in X$  we have:

$$\langle T x, w' \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x \rangle w_k, w' \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle w', w_k \rangle x'_k, x \right\rangle,$$

hence  $T^* w' = w^* \text{-} \lim_N \sum_{k=1}^N x'_k \langle w', w_k \rangle$  (the limit is in the topology  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ ).



## Definition

*O-frame*  $((x'_k), (w_k))$  for  $T$  is *shrinking* if for every  $w' \in W^*$  the norm  $\|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} x'_k \langle w', w_k \rangle\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k), (w_k))$  be an *O-frame* for  $T \in L(X, W)$ . The dual system  $\mathcal{F}^d := ((w_k), (x'_k))$  is an *O-frame* for  $T^*$  iff the *O-frame*  $\mathcal{F}$  is *shrinking*.

# Dual O-frame

## Definition

*O-frame*  $((x'_k), (w_k))$  for  $T$  is *shrinking* if for every  $w' \in W^*$  the norm  $\|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} x'_k \langle w', w_k \rangle\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k), (w_k))$  be an *O-frame* for  $T \in L(X, W)$ . The dual system  $\mathcal{F}^d := ((w_k), (x'_k))$  is an *O-frame* for  $T^*$  iff the *O-frame*  $\mathcal{F}$  is *shrinking*.

# Boundedly complete O-frame

## Definition

*O-frame  $((x'_k), (w_k))$  for  $T$  is boundedly complete if for every  $x'' \in X^{**}$  the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'', x'_k \rangle w_k$  converges in the space  $W$ .*

## Proposition

*Let  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k), (w_k))$  be an O-frame for  $T \in L(X, W)$ . TFAE:*

- 1) O-frame  $\mathcal{F}$  is boundedly complete;*
- 2) for every  $x'' \in X^{**}$ , it follows from the boundedness of the partial sums  $(\sum_{k=1}^N \langle x'', x'_k \rangle w_k)_{N=1}^{\infty}$  the convergence of the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'', x'_k \rangle w_k$  in the space  $W$ .*

# Boundedly complete O-frame

## Definition

*O-frame  $((x'_k), (w_k))$  for  $T$  is boundedly complete if for every  $x'' \in X^{**}$  the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'', x'_k \rangle w_k$  converges in the space  $W$ .*

## Proposition

*Let  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k), (w_k))$  be an O-frame for  $T \in L(X, W)$ . TFAE:*

- 1) O-frame  $\mathcal{F}$  is boundedly complete;*
- 2) for every  $x'' \in X^{**}$ , it follows from the boundedness of the partial sums  $(\sum_{k=1}^N \langle x'', x'_k \rangle w_k)_{N=1}^{\infty}$  the convergence of the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'', x'_k \rangle w_k$  in the space  $W$ .*

# O-frames and weak compactness

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k), (w_k))$  be an O-frame for  $T \in L(X, W)$ . If this O-frame  $\mathcal{F}$  is boundedly complete and shrinking, then the operator  $T$  is weakly compact.*

# O-frames and basis-factorization

## Theorem

Let  $T \in L(X, W)$ . TFAE:

- 1)  $T$  has an O-frame;
- 2) the operator  $T$  factors through a Banach space with a basis;
- 3)  $T$  factors through a Banach sequence space with a basis.

# O-frames and basis-factorization: Proof

Proof.

$T$ , O-frame  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k), (w_k))$ ,  $w_k \neq 0$ .

$\exists K > 0 : \forall N \|\sum_{k=1}^N x'_k \otimes w_k\| \leq K$ .

$t := \{a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty : \text{series } \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k w_k \text{ converges in } W\}$ ,

$\|a\|_t := \sup_N \|\sum_{k=1}^N a_k w_k\|$  ( $\geq \lim_N \|\sum_{k=1}^N a_k w_k\|$ ). For

$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{N+s}, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $\| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k e_k \| \leq \| \sum_{k=1}^{N+s} a_k e_k \|$

and the linear span of  $(e_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  is dense in  $t$ . Thus,  $(e_k)$  is

monotone basis in the Banach space  $t$ . If  $j : t \rightarrow W$  is a natural

map  $a \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k w_k$ , then  $\|j\| \leq 1$ . Set  $Ax := (\langle x'_k, x \rangle)_{k=1}^\infty$ ; then

$Ax \in t$ . Furthermore,

$$\|Ax\|_t = \sup_N \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \langle x'_k, x \rangle w_k \right\| \leq K \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Thus,  $A \in L(X, t)$  and  $T = jA : X \rightarrow t \rightarrow W$ . □

# Unconditional O-frames

## Definition

Let  $T \in L(X, W)$ ,  $(x'_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset X^*$ ,  $(w_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset W$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k)_{k=1}^\infty, (w_k)_{k=1}^\infty)$  is an UO-frame (unconditional operator frame) for  $T$ , if for every  $x \in X$  the series  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle x'_k, x \rangle w_k$  converges unconditionally in  $W$  and

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x \rangle w_k, \quad x \in X.$$

## Theorem

Let  $T \in L(X, W)$ . TFAE:

- 1)  $T$  has a UO-frame;
- 2)  $T$  the operator  $T$  factors through a Banach space with an unconditional basis;
- 3)  $T$  the operator  $T$  factors through a Banach sequence space with an unconditional basis basis.



# Unconditional O-frames

## Definition

Let  $T \in L(X, W)$ ,  $(x'_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset X^*$ ,  $(w_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subset W$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F} := ((x'_k)_{k=1}^\infty, (w_k)_{k=1}^\infty)$  is an UO-frame (unconditional operator frame) for  $T$ , if for every  $x \in X$  the series  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle x'_k, x \rangle w_k$  converges unconditionally in  $W$  and

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x \rangle w_k, \quad x \in X.$$

## Theorem

Let  $T \in L(X, W)$ . TFAE:

- 1)  $T$  has a UO-frame;
- 2)  $T$  the operator  $T$  factors through a Banach space with an unconditional basis;
- 3)  $T$  the operator  $T$  factors through a Banach sequence space with an unconditional basis.

# O-frames and bounded approximation property

## Definition

Let  $T \in L(X, W)$ ,  $C \geq 1$ . We say that  $T$  has the  $C$ -BAP if for every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a finite rank operator  $R : X \rightarrow W$  such that  $\|R\| \leq C \|T\|$  and  $\sup_{x \in K} \|Rx - Tx\| \leq \varepsilon$ .  $T$  has the BAP, if it has the  $C$ -BAP for some  $C \in [1, \infty)$ .

## Theorem

Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space,  $W$  be any Banach space and  $T \in L(X, W)$ . TFAE:

- (1)  $T$  has an O-frame;
- (2)  $T$  has the BAP;
- (3)  $T$  factors through a Banach space with a basis.

# O-frames and bounded approximation property

## Definition

Let  $T \in L(X, W)$ ,  $C \geq 1$ . We say that  $T$  has the  $C$ -BAP if for every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a finite rank operator  $R : X \rightarrow W$  such that  $\|R\| \leq C \|T\|$  and  $\sup_{x \in K} \|Rx - Tx\| \leq \varepsilon$ .  $T$  has the BAP, if it has the  $C$ -BAP for some  $C \in [1, \infty)$ .

## Theorem

Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space,  $W$  be any Banach space and  $T \in L(X, W)$ . TFAE:

- (1)  $T$  has an O-frame;
- (2)  $T$  has the BAP;
- (3)  $T$  factors through a Banach space with a basis.

# Comparing Banach frames and O-frames

- **Comparing the usual Banach frames with O-frames.**
- Known: If  $X$  has an unconditional Banach frame, then:
  1. The frame is shrinking iff  $X$  does not contain  $l_1$  iff  $X$  is almost reflexive.
  2.  $X$  is reflexive iff it does not contain both  $l_1$  and  $c_0$ .

For O-frames, the situation is different. We have

## Example

There exists an operator  $T : l_1 \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  such that

1.  $T$  is conditionally weakly compact and, thus, does not contain  $l_1$ .  $T$  has no shrinking O-frame.
2.  $T$  does not contain also  $c_0$ , but is not weakly compact.

# Comparing Banach frames and O-frames

- **Comparing the usual Banach frames with O-frames.**
- Known: If  $X$  has an unconditional Banach frame, then:
  1. The frame is shrinking iff  $X$  does not contain  $l_1$  iff  $X$  is almost reflexive.
  2.  $X$  is reflexive iff it does not contain both  $l_1$  and  $c_0$ .For O-frames, the situation is different. We have

## Example

There exists an operator  $T : l_1 \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  such that

1.  $T$  is conditionally weakly compact and, thus, does not contain  $l_1$ .  $T$  has no shrinking O-frame.
2.  $T$  does not contain also  $c_0$ , but is not weakly compact.

# Comparing Banach frames and O-frames

- Trivially, If  $X$  does not have the approximation property, then it can not have a Banach frame.

For O-frames, the situation is different. We have

## Example

There exist two separable reflexive Banach spaces  $X, Y$  and an operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  so that:

Both  $X$  and  $Y$  do not have the approximation property, but  $T$  has an unconditional O-frame.

# Comparing Banach frames and O-frames

- Trivially, If  $X$  does not have the approximation property, then it can not have a Banach frame.  
For O-frames, the situation is different. We have

## Example

There exist two separable reflexive Banach spaces  $X, Y$  and an operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  so that:  
Both  $X$  and  $Y$  do not have the approximation property, but  $T$  has an unconditional O-frame.

# Comparing Banach frames and O-frames

- Known: If a reflexive space has the approximation property, then it has a Banach frame.

For O-frames, the situation is different. We have

## Example

There exists a weakly compact operator, which has the approximation property, but has no operator frame.



# Comparing Banach frames and O-frames

- Known: If a reflexive space has the approximation property, then it has a Banach frame.

For O-frames, the situation is different. We have

## Example

There exists a weakly compact operator, which has the approximation property, but has no operator frame.

Thank you for your attention!