Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

Note A note on panchromatic colorings

Danila Cherkashin

Saint Petersburg State University, Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics, Russian Federation Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Laboratory of Advanced Combinatorics and Network Applications, Russian Federation St. Petersburg Department of V. A. Steklov Institute of Mathematics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Russian Federation

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 May 2017 Received in revised form 24 October 2017 Accepted 25 October 2017 Available online 22 December 2017

Keywords: Hypergraph colorings Panchromatic colorings

ABSTRACT

This paper studies the quantity p(n, r), that is the minimal number of edges of an *n*-uniform hypergraph without panchromatic coloring (it means that every edge meets every color) in *r* colors. If $r \leq c \frac{n}{\ln n}$ then all bounds have a type $A_1(n, \ln n, r)(\frac{r}{r-1})^n \leq p(n, r) \leq A_2(n, r, \ln r)(\frac{r}{r-1})^n$, where A_1, A_2 are some algebraic fractions. The main result is a new lower bound on p(n, r) when *r* is at least $c\sqrt{n}$; we improve an upper bound on p(n, r) if $n = o(r^{3/2})$.

Also we show that p(n, r) has upper and lower bounds depending only on n/r when the ratio n/r is small, which cannot be reached by the previous probabilistic machinery.

Finally we construct an explicit example of a hypergraph without panchromatic coloring and with $(\frac{r}{r-1} + o(1))^n$ edges for $r = o(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}})$.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A hypergraph is a pair (V, E), where V is a finite set whose elements are called vertices and E is a family of subsets of V, called edges. A hypergraph is *n*-uniform if every edge has size *n*. A vertex *r*-coloring of a hypergraph (V, E) is a map $c : V \rightarrow \{1, ..., r\}$.

An *r*-coloring of vertices of a hypergraph is called *panchromatic* if every edge contains a vertex of every color. The problem of the existence of a panchromatic coloring of a hypergraph was stated in the local form by P. Erdős and L. Lovász in [4]. They proved that if every edge of an *n*-uniform hypergraph intersects at most $r^{n-1}/4(r-1)^n$ other edges then the hypergraph has a panchromatic *r*-coloring. Then A. Kostochka in [7] stated the problem in the present form and linked it with the *r*-choosability problem using ideas by P. Erdős, A.L. Rubin and H. Taylor from [5]. Also A. Kostochka and D.R. Woodall [9] found some sufficient conditions on a hypergraph to have a panchromatic coloring in terms of Hall ratio. Reader can find a survey on history and results on the related problems in [8,11].

1.1. Upper bounds

Using the results from [1] A. Kostochka proved [7] that for some constants c_1 , $c_2 > 0$

$$\frac{1}{r}e^{c_1\frac{n}{r}} \leq p(n,r) \leq re^{c_2\frac{n}{r}}.$$

In works [13,14] D. Shabanov gives the following upper bounds:

$$p(n,r) \le c \frac{n^2 \ln r}{r^2} \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^n$$
, if $3 \le r = o(\sqrt{n}), n > n_0$

E-mail address: matelk@mail.ru.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2017.10.030 0012-365X/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.





(1)

$$p(n,r) \le c \frac{n^{3/2} \ln r}{r} \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^n$$
, if $r = O(n^{2/3})$ and $n_0 < n = O(r^2)$; (2)

$$p(n,r) \le c \max\left(\frac{n^2}{r}, n^{3/2}\right) \ln r\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^n$$
 for all $n, r \ge 2$.

Let us introduce the quantity p'(n, r) that is the minimal number of edges in an *n*-uniform hypergraph H = (V, E) such that any subset of vertices $V' \subset V$ with $|V'| \ge \left\lceil \frac{r-1}{r} |V| \right\rceil$ contains an edge. Note that by pigeonhole principle every vertex *r*-coloring contains a color of size at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{r} |V| \rfloor$. So the complement to

Note that by pigeonhole principle every vertex *r*-coloring contains a color of size at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{r}|V| \rfloor$. So the complement to this color has size at least $|V| - \lfloor \frac{1}{r}|V| \rfloor = \lceil \frac{r-1}{r}|V| \rceil$. Hence, $p(n, r) \le p'(n, r)$. This argument is in the spirit of the standard estimation of the chromatic number via the independence number.

The following theorem gives better upper bound in the case when $n = o(r^{3/2})$.

Theorem 1.1. The following inequality holds for every $n \ge 2$, $r \ge 2$

$$p'(n,r) \le c \frac{n^2 \ln r}{r} \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^n.$$

It immediately implies

$$p(n,r) \leq c \frac{n^2 \ln r}{r} \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^n.$$

1.2. Lower bounds

We start by noting that an evident probabilistic argument gives $p(n, r) \ge \frac{1}{r} (\frac{r}{r-1})^n$. This gives lower bound (1) with $c_1 = 1$. This was essentially improved by D. Shabanov in [13]:

$$p(n,r) \ge c \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{n}{\ln n}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^n \text{ for } n, r \ge 2, r < n.$$

Next, A. Rozovskaya and D. Shabanov [12] showed that

$$p(n,r) \ge c \frac{1}{r^2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}} \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^n \text{ for } n, r \ge 2, r \le \frac{n}{2\ln n}$$

Using the Alterations method (see Section 3 of [2]) we can get the following lower bound for all the range of *n*, *r*. It gives better results when $r \ge c\sqrt{n}$.

Theorem 1.2. For $n \ge r \ge 2$ holds

$$p(n,r) \ge e^{-1} \frac{r-1}{n-1} e^{\frac{n-1}{r-1}}.$$

There is a completely different way to get almost the same bound. First, we need to prove intermediate bound. It is based on the geometric rethinking of A. Pluhár's ideas [10].

Theorem 1.3. For $n \ge r \ge 2$ such that $r \le c \frac{n}{\ln n}$ holds

$$p(n,r) \ge c \max\left(\frac{n^{1/4}}{r\sqrt{r}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^n.$$

Combining Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. For $n \ge r \ge 2$ such that $\sqrt{n} \le r \le c' \frac{n}{\ln n}$ holds

$$p(n,r)\geq c\frac{r}{n}e^{\frac{n}{r}}.$$

Remark 1.5. Theorem 1.3, unlike Theorems 1.2 and 1.4, admits a local version.

1.3. Small n/r

Consider the case when the ratio n/r is small; n/r = const is a good model case. In the case $\frac{n}{r} \le c \ln n$ the best upper bound was $re^{cn/r}$ [7], where $c \ge 4$ is a constant. Using the following theorem we give a bound depending only on n/r.

Theorem 1.6. The following inequality holds for every integer triple m, n, r

p(mn, mr) < p'(n, r).

As a corollary of Theorem 1.6 and an evident inequality $\max(p(n, r), p(n + 1, r + 1)) < p(n + 1, r)$ we get a better upper bound, for the case of small n/r.

Corollary 1.7. The following inequality holds for every integer k < r

$$p(n,r) \leq p'\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{r-k+1} \rceil k, k\right).$$

In particular, if $n < r^2$ one can put $k := \alpha \frac{n}{r}$ and get $p(n, r) \le c(\frac{n}{r})^2 \ln \frac{n}{r} \cdot e^{\frac{n}{r}}$.

There was no known lower bound in this case (all the previous methods give something less than 1). Theorem 1.2 covers this gap, but note also that there exists a very simple greedy algorithm.

Proposition 1.8. The following inequality holds for every integer n > r

$$p(n,r) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof of Proposition 1.8. Consider a hypergraph H = (V, E) with |E| < |n/r|. Let us pick an edge $e \in E$ and color its arbitrary r vertices in different colors. Then delete e and all colored vertices from H. The remaining hypergraph has |E| - 1edge, and the size of every edge is at least n - r. We can do this procedure |n/r| times showing the claim.

1.4. Explicit constructions

Recently, H. Gebauer [6] gave an explicit example of an *n*-uniform hypergraph with chromatic number r + 1 and with $(r + o(1))^n$ edges for a constant r. We modify this example to the case of panchromatic colorings.

Theorem 1.9. Let $r = o(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}})$. There is an explicit construction of an *n*-uniform hypergraph H = (V, E) without panchromatic r-coloring and such that

$$|E(H)| = \left(\frac{r}{r-1} + o(1)\right)^n.$$

2. Proofs

The following proof is just a rephrasing of the proof by P. Erdős [3].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Consider a vertex set *V* of size $|V| = n^2$. Let us construct a hypergraph H = (V, E) by random (uniformly and independently) choosing an edge $m := c \frac{n^2 \ln r}{r} (\frac{r}{r-1})^n$ times. We can choose an edge multiple times during this process, but in this case the total number of i.e. $|E| \le m$. Let us fix a subset of vertices $V' \subset V$ of size $|V'| = \lceil \frac{r-1}{r} |V| \rceil$. Denote the probability that a random edge is a subset of V'

by p. Obviously,

$$p = \frac{\binom{|V'|}{n}}{\binom{|V|}{n}} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left\lceil \frac{r-1}{r} n^2 \right\rceil - i}{n^2 - i} \ge \left(\frac{\left\lceil \frac{r-1}{r} n^2 \right\rceil - n}{n^2 - n}\right)^n \\ \ge \left(\frac{\left\lceil \frac{r-1}{r} n^2 \right\rceil - 2\left\lceil \frac{r-1}{r} n \right\rceil}{n(n-1)}\right)^n = e^{\left(\frac{r-1}{r} n\right)^n}(1 + o(1))$$

The probability that V' does not contain an edge is equal to $(1-p)^m$. The total number of such sets V' is $\binom{n^2}{\lfloor (r-1)n^2/r \rfloor} = \binom{n^2}{\lfloor n^2/r \rfloor}$. If $\binom{n^2}{\lceil (r-1)n^2/r\rceil}(1-p)^m < 1$ then a hypergraph realizing the inequality $p'(n, r) \le m$ exists with positive probability. One can see that

$$\binom{n^2}{\lfloor n^2/r \rfloor} (1-p)^m \leq \frac{n^{2\lfloor n^2/r \rfloor}}{\lfloor n^2/r \rfloor!} e^{-pm} = e^{c \ln r \lfloor n^2/r \rfloor - e \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^n m}.$$

So for $m = c \frac{n^2 \ln r}{r} (\frac{r}{r-1})^n$ the claim is proved. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let H = (V, E) be a given hypergraph with

$$|E| \le e^{-1} \frac{r-1}{n-1} e^{\frac{n-1}{r-1}}.$$

We should show that *H* has a panchromatic coloring.

Consider a uniform independent coloring of the vertex set with a > r colors. The expectation of the number of such pairs (e, q) that edge $e \in E$ has no color q is $|E|a(\frac{a-1}{a})^n$. So, if $|E|a(\frac{a-1}{a})^n < a - r$, then with positive probability there are r colors such that they are contained in every edge. Substituting $a = \frac{\binom{n-1}{n-r}r}{n-r}$ one has that for

$$|E| \le \frac{r-1}{n-1} \left(\frac{nr-r}{nr-n}\right)^n \le e^{-1} \frac{r-1}{n-1} e^{\frac{n-1}{r-1}}$$

a panchromatic coloring exists. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let H = (V, E) be a given hypergraph with

$$|E| \le c \max\left(\frac{n^{1/4}}{r\sqrt{r}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^n.$$

We should show that *H* has a panchromatic coloring.

Consider the (r - 1)-dimensional regular simplex with the unit distance between vertices, and let us map every vertex of *H* to the 1-face skeleton (edges of the simplex) according to the uniform measure and independently; call ρ the inner metric on the skeleton. Then let us fix a bijection *f* between the colors and the vertices of the simplex. We are going to color the hypergraph in the following way: for every edge *e* of the hypergraph and every color *i*, we give color *i* to the ρ -nearest vertex of edge *e* (with probability 1 it is unique; let us call it $v_i(e)$) to the vertex f(i) of the simplex. If the coloring is not self-contradictory then it is obviously panchromatic.

Let us evaluate the probability of such contradiction. We are going to show that such probability is less than 1 showing the claim. Let us call a *bad event of the first type*, the event that for some edge $e \in E$ and some color *i* the vertex $v_i(e)$ does not lie on the adjacent to f(i) edge of the simplex. The probability of this event is $\left(\frac{r-2}{r}\right)^n$. Summing up over all edges and colors we get $Poly(r, n)\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^n = Poly(r, n)\left(\frac{r-2}{r-1}\right)^n$ which tends to zero if $r \le c \frac{n}{\ln n}$.

Now let us go to *bad events of the second type*, i.e. the events that there is a vertex *x* such that it should have color *i* and *j* simultaneously (let us call *x* a *conflict vertex*). Consider a pair of edges $(e_1, e_2) \in E^2$; denote the size of their intersection by $t := |e_1 \cap e_2|$. We will estimate the probability (denote it by q = q(t)) that e_1 and e_2 demand to color a conflict vertex $x \in e_1 \cap e_2$ in different colors, and then sum up over all pairs of edges. The case $e_1 = e_2$ (i.e. t = n) corresponds to the event that the coloring is contradictory even on one edge e_1 .

First, we should choose a conflict vertex x (there are t ways to do it) and a conflict pair of colors (i, j) (there are r(r - 1)/2 ways). Note that x should lie on the edge (f(i), f(j)) of the simplex (this event has the probability $\frac{2}{(r-1)r}$), otherwise we have already counted them in the bad events of the first type. If dist(x, f(i)) = a, then dist(x, f(j)) = 1 - a. Since x is the nearest vertex to f(i) in the edge e_1 any vertex $y \in e_1$ cannot lie in the union of r - 1 segments of length a with endpoint f(i). Analogously, any vertex $z \in e_2$ cannot lie in the union of r - 1 segments of length 1 - a with endpoint f(j). So any vertex $w \in e_1 \cap e_2$ cannot lie in both forbidden sets (note that the forbidden sets have empty intersection). So for fixed a the conditional probability is

$$\left(\frac{r-2}{r}\right)^{t-1} \left(1-\frac{2a}{r}\right)^{n-t} \left(1-\frac{2-2a}{r}\right)^{n-t}.$$

Summing up, we have

$$q = t \frac{(r-1)r}{2} \frac{2}{(r-1)r} \left(\frac{r-2}{r}\right)^{t-1} \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{2a}{r}\right)^{n-t} \left(1 - \frac{2-2a}{r}\right)^{n-t} da$$
$$= t \left(1 - \frac{1}{(r-1)^2}\right)^{t-1} \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{2(t-1)} \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{2a}{r}\right)^{n-t} \left(1 - \frac{2-2a}{r}\right)^{n-t} da.$$

Put $A := te^{-tr^{-2}} > te^{-t(r-1)^{-2}} \ge t\left(1 - \frac{1}{(r-1)^2}\right)^t \ge \frac{1}{2}t\left(1 - \frac{1}{(r-1)^2}\right)^{t-1}$. Let us show that $A \le c \min(r^2, n)$. Indeed, $A = r^2 \frac{t}{r^2} e^{-tr^{-2}} \le cr^2$ and $A \le t \le n$, so $A \le c \min(r^2, n)$. Put also

$$B := \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{2(t-1)} \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{2a}{r}\right)^{n-t} \left(1 - \frac{2-2a}{r}\right)^{n-t} da.$$

Obviously, $(1 - \frac{2a}{r})(1 - \frac{2-2a}{r}) \le (1 - \frac{1}{r})^2$, thus $B \le (\frac{r-1}{r})^{2n-2}$. Exchange $x = 1 - \frac{2a}{r}$ gives

$$B = \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{2(r-1)} \frac{r}{2} \int_{1-2/r}^{1} x^{n-t} \left(2 - \frac{2}{r} - x\right)^{n-t} dx.$$

After exchange $y = \frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{r-1} x$ we have

$$B = \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{2n-1} 2^{2(n-t)+1} \frac{r}{2} \int_{\frac{r-2}{2(r-1)}}^{\frac{r}{2(r-1)}} y^{n-t} (1-y)^{n-t} dy,$$

but this integral is not greater than Euler beta function

$$B(n-t+1, n-t+1) = \frac{1}{2(n-t)+1} \frac{1}{\binom{2(n-t)}{n-t}} \leq c \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-t}} 2^{2(t-n)}.$$

Summing up, we have $B \le c \frac{r}{\sqrt{n-t}} \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{2n}$ which implies $B \le c \min\left(1, \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{2n}$. Finally,

$$q \leq AB \leq c \min(r^2, n) \min\left(1, \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{2n} = c \min\left(n, \frac{r^3}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \left(\frac{r-1}{r}\right)^{2n}.$$

The total number of such pairs (e_1, e_2) is $|E|^2$, so $q|E|^2 \le AB|E|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}$ for a corresponding value of c. Recall that the probability of bad events of the first type tends to zero, so the union bound shows the claim. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let H = (V, E) be a given hypergraph with

$$|E| \leq c \frac{r}{n} e^{\frac{n}{r}}.$$

We should show that *H* has a panchromatic coloring. Put $a := r + \frac{r^2}{n}$. Since $r \le c' \frac{n}{\ln n}$, we have $a \le 2r \le \frac{c}{2} \frac{n}{\ln n}$, where *c* is from Theorem 1.3. So we can repeat the proof of Theorem 1.2.

The probability of the union of the events of the first type still tends to zero very fast. Now let us note that for $r \ge \sqrt{n}$ we have min $\left(n, \frac{r^3}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = n$. Hence the expectation of the number of such triples (e_1, e_2, q) that edges $e_1, e_2 \in E$ conflict on color q is less than

$$|E|^{2} cn\left(\frac{a-1}{a}\right)^{2n} = c \frac{r^{2}}{n} e^{\frac{2n}{r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r + \frac{r^{2}}{n}}\right)^{2n} \le c e^{2} \frac{r^{2}}{n}$$

Summing up,

$$\mathbb{E}(\#$$
bad triples $) \le c \frac{r^2}{n} = \frac{a-r}{2}.$

So by Markov inequality we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\#\text{bad triples} > a - r) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

It means that with positive probability there are r colors such that they are contained in every edge. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let H = (V, E) be a hypergraph realizing the quantity p'(n, r). Put J = (W, F), where $W := \{(v, i) | v \in V\}$ $V, 1 \le i \le m$ }, $F := \{\bigcup_{v \in e, 1 \le i \le m} (v, i) | e \in E\}$. Obviously, J is mn-uniform and |F| = |E|. A subset $A_v := \{(v, i) \in W | 1 \le i \le m\}$ is called a *block* (note that blocks are disjoint).

Consider an arbitrary coloring of |W| in mr colors. By pigeonhole principle there is a color i such that it appears on at most $\lfloor \frac{|W|}{mr} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{|V|}{r} \rfloor$ vertices. Hence there are at most $\lfloor \frac{|V|}{r} \rfloor$ blocks with a vertex of color i. Let $V' \subset V$ be a set of such vertices $v \in V$ that the block A_v does not contain color i. It has the size at least $|V| - \lfloor \frac{|V|}{r} \rfloor = \lceil \frac{r-1}{r} |V| \rceil$, which implies the existence of an edge $e \in E$ such that $e \subset V'$. So the corresponding edge of J does not contain color i, hence $p(mn, mr) \leq |F| = p'(n, r)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.7. Obviously,

$$p(n,r) \le p\left(n, \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k} \right\rfloor k\right) \le p\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{\lfloor r/k \rfloor k} \right\rceil \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k} \right\rfloor k, \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k} \right\rfloor k\right),$$

we theorem 1.6 $p(n,r) \le p'\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{\lfloor r/k \rfloor k} \right\rceil k, k\right) \le p'\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{r-k+1} \right\rceil k, k\right).$

In fact, bound (2) is proved for p'(n, r) (see [13]). So let us put $k := \alpha \frac{n}{r}$ and apply (2). It gives $p\left(\frac{k^2}{\alpha}, k\right) \le c \frac{k^3 \ln k}{k} \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{\frac{k^2}{\alpha}} = ck^2 \ln k \cdot e^{\frac{k}{\alpha}}$ showing the claim. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let us construct a hypergraph $H_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ in the following way. Fix an integer t|n and put $k := \left\lceil \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^t \right\rceil \frac{n}{t}$, then $V := \{(i, j)| 1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le rt\} = [k] \times [rt]$. Let the set of edges be

$$E := \bigcup_{A \subset [rt]} \bigcup_{\substack{0 \le i_{\alpha} < k \\ \alpha \in A}} \bigcup_{B \subset [k] \atop |B| = \frac{n}{t}} \{ ((\beta + i_{\alpha}) \mod k, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in A, \beta \in B \}$$

Note that

so by

$$|E| \leq \binom{rt}{t} k^t \binom{k}{n/t} \leq (rt)^t \left(\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^t \frac{n}{t} \right)^t \left(e\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^t \right)^{n/t} \leq (rn)^t \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^{t^2} e^{n/t} \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^n.$$

Put $t := \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}$. Since $r = o(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}})$, one can give an estimate $(rn)^t \le n^{2t} = e^{2t \ln n} = e^{o(n/r)}$. Also, $\left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^{t^2} = \left(\frac{r}{r-1}\right)^{o(n)}$ and $e^{n/t} = e^{o(n/r)}$. Summing up, $|E(H)| \le \left(\frac{r}{r-1} + o(1)\right)^n$. Let us show that H has no panchromatic coloring. Suppose the contrary and consider a panchromatic coloring. Call a

Let us show that *H* has no panchromatic coloring. Suppose the contrary and consider a panchromatic coloring. Call a color *q* a *minor color* for a line $[k] \times \{i\}$ if it has at most $\lfloor \frac{k}{r} \rfloor$ vertices. By pigeonhole principle every line $[k] \times \{i\}$ has a minor color. Again, by pigeonhole principle there is a set $A \subset [rt]$ of lines with the same minor color *q* such that $|A| \ge t$. Next, for any fixed β the proportion of such $\{i_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ that $\{((\beta + i_{\alpha}) \mod k, \alpha) | \alpha \in A\}$ has no color *q*, is at least $(\frac{r-1}{r})^t$. By the linearity of expectation there is a choice of $\{i_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that at least $k(\frac{r-1}{r})^t = \frac{n}{t}$ indices $\beta \in B$ give *q*-free sets $\{((\beta + i_{\alpha}) \mod k, \alpha) | \alpha \in A\}$. So there is an edge without color *q*, which gives a contradiction. \Box

Acknowledgments

The author is supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 16-11-10014. Also the author is grateful to Misha Basok, Roman Prosanov and Andrei Raigorodskii for very careful reading of the draft of the paper and to Fedya Petrov for some motivating remarks.

References

- [1] Noga Alon, Choice numbers of graphs: a probabilistic approach, Combin. Probab. Comput. 1 (02) (1992) 107–114.
- [2] Noga Alon, Joel H. Spencer, Paul Erdős, The Probabilistic Method, John Wiley & Sons, 2016.
- [3] Paul Erdős, On a combinatorial problem, II, Acta Math. Hungar. 15 (3–4) (1964) 445–447.
- [4] Paul Erdős, László Lovász, Problems and results on 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions, Infin. Finite Sets 10 (2) (1975) 609–627.
- [5] Paul Erdős, Arthur L. Rubin, Herbert Taylor, Choosability in graphs, Congr. Numer. 26 (1979) 125–157.
- [6] Heidi Gebauer, On the construction of 3-chromatic hypergraphs with few edges, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 120 (7) (2013) 1483–1490.
- [7] Alexandr Kostochka, On a theorem of Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor on choosability of complete bipartite graphs, Electron. J. Combin. 9 (9) (2002) 1.
- [8] Alexandr Kostochka, Color-critical graphs and hypergraphs with few edges: a survey, in: More Sets, Graphs and Numbers, Springer, 2006, pp. 175–197.
- [9] Alexandr V. Kostochka, Douglas R. Woodall, Density conditions for panchromatic colourings of hypergraphs, Combinatorica 21 (4) (2001) 515–541.
- [10] András Pluhár, Greedy colorings of uniform hypergraphs, Random Struct. Algorithms 35 (2) (2009) 216-221.
- [11] Andrei M. Raigorodskii, Dmitrii A. Shabanov, The Erdős-Hajnal problem of hypergraph colouring, its generalizations, and related problems, Russian Math. Surveys 66 (5) (2011) 933.
- [12] Anastasiya P. Rozovskaya, Dmitrii A. Shabanov, Improvement of the lower bound in the Kostochka problem of panchromatic coloring of a hypergraph, Math. Notes 89 (5) (2011) 903–906.
- [13] Dmitrii A. Shabanov, The existence of panchromatic colourings for uniform hypergraphs, Sb. Math. 201 (4) (2010) 607.
- [14] Dmitry A. Shabanov, On a generalization of Rubin's theorem, J. Graph Theory 67 (3) (2011) 226–234.